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• Nutrition and Physical Activity Assessment Study (NPAAS)
• Chronic disease association results using energy consumption and activity-related energy expenditure biomarkers
• Blood concentration measurements in the NPAAS-feeding study
• Metabolomic platforms for biomarker identification
• Recent efforts toward macronutrient biomarker identification
Nutrient and Physical Activity Assessment Studies (NPAAS) in WHI

- 544 DM Trial women completed two-week DLW protocol with urine and blood collection and FFQ (50% intervention, 50% control). A 20% reliability subsample repeated protocol. (NBS; 2004-2006)

- Biomarker study among 450 women in the OS for evaluating measurement properties of dietary and physical activity assessment approaches (frequencies, records, and recalls). With 20% reliability subsample. (NPAAS I; 2007-2009)

- Recently completed feeding study among 153 WHI women in Seattle, for development of objective markers for additional nutrients or foods. (NPAAS II; 2010-present)
Nutrition and Physical Activity Biomarker Study Collaborators (NBS and NPAAS)

Coordination (FHCRC):
Marian Neuhouser, Lesley Tinker, Johanna Lampe, Ross Prentice

Clinical Center PIs:
Shirley Beresford - Seattle, Judy Ockene - U Mass
Bette Caan - Oakland, Gerardo Heiss - UNC
Linda Van Horn - Chicago, Lewis Kuller* - Pittsburgh
Cynthia Thomson - Arizona, Marcia Stefanick* - Stanford
Yasmin Mossavar-Rahmani† - NYC, Ellen Smit* - Portland
Karen Johnson - Memphis, Annlouise Assaf* - Pawtucket
Gloria Sarto - Wisconsin

*NBS only †NPAAS only

Additional Collaborators:
Dale Schoeller - Wisconsin (DLW); Sheila Bingham - Cambridge(UN);
Xiaoling Song - Ying Huang, Chongzhi Di - FHCRC, Cheng Zheng - U of Wisconsin, Milwauk ee; Pamela Shaw - U Penn; Jeannette Beasley – NYC;
Dan Raftery - U of Washington
WHI Nutritional Biomarkers Study Procedures (NBS, NPAAS and NPAAS-FS)

Invitation letter and screening interview

- Not eligible

Eligible & willing

Schedule first visit

Visit 1
- Informed consent
- Anthropometry
- Pre-DLW spot urine
- DLW dosing
- 3 post-DLW spot urines
- Complete dietary, physical activity, and other questionnaires
- 24-hr urine collection instructions
- 4-day food record instructions

Visit 2
- Bring 24-hr urine
- Weight
- Fasting blood draw
- 2 spot urines
- Indirect calorimetry
- Physical activity q
- 3 24HRs over next 2-3 months

Subset, 20%

Repeat all procedures 6 mo later (reliability study)

2 weeks

Collect 24-hr urine

2 weeks
Calibration Equation Coefficients ($\beta$), Standard Errors (SE), and Percent of Biomarker Variation Explained ($R^2$) from Regression of Log(biomarker) on Log(self-report), and Other Factors among 450 Observational Study Women

### Energy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Food Frequency</th>
<th>4DFR</th>
<th>24HR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\beta$</td>
<td>SE</td>
<td>$R^2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intercept</td>
<td>7.614$^d$</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FFQ</td>
<td>0.054$^g$</td>
<td>0.017</td>
<td>3.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4DFR</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24HR</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI</td>
<td>0.013$^j$</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>26.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>-0.010$^m$</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>9.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black</td>
<td>-0.023</td>
<td>0.019</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic</td>
<td>-0.062$^p$</td>
<td>0.021</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>-0.041</td>
<td>0.040</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>minority (Total)$^c$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>41.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Prentice et al (2011, AJE)
Objective Measure of Physical Activity for Calibration of Self-Report Data

• Activity-Related Energy Expenditure (AREE)  
  (Neuhouser et al, 2013, AJE)

• Energy and AREE in relation to cardiovascular disease, cancer and diabetes  
  (Zheng et al, 2014, AJE)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Disease Category</th>
<th>Uncalibrated Energy</th>
<th>AREE</th>
<th>HR</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
<th>HR</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
<th>Calibrated Energy</th>
<th>AREE</th>
<th>HR</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total CHD</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.98,1.02</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.97,1.01</td>
<td>1.57</td>
<td>1.19,2.06</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>0.65,0.95</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nonfatal MI</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.98,1.03</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.97,1.01</td>
<td>1.49</td>
<td>1.13,1.97</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>0.67,0.97</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coronary Death</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>0.94,1.02</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>0.94,1.00</td>
<td>2.22</td>
<td>1.36,3.61</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>0.46,0.86</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heart Failure</td>
<td>1.04</td>
<td>1.01,1.08</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>0.95,1.00</td>
<td>3.51</td>
<td>2.12,5.82</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>0.41,0.79</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CABG and PCI</td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td>0.99,1.03</td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td>0.99,1.03</td>
<td>1.43</td>
<td>1.19,1.70</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>0.79,1.03</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Stroke</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>0.95,1.00</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.98,1.01</td>
<td>1.36</td>
<td>1.05,1.76</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>0.69,0.99</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ischemic Stroke</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>0.96,1.01</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.97,1.01</td>
<td>1.55</td>
<td>1.14,2.10</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>0.64,0.94</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hemorrhagic Stroke</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>0.88,0.99</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td>0.99,1.08</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>0.21,1.07</td>
<td>1.37</td>
<td>0.85,2.20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total CVD: CHD and Stroke</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.97,1.00</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.98,1.00</td>
<td>1.49</td>
<td>1.18,1.88</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>0.69,0.92</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total CVD including CABG and PCI</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.99,1.01</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.99,1.01</td>
<td>1.49</td>
<td>1.23,1.81</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>0.73,0.93</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cancer Category</th>
<th>Uncalibrated</th>
<th></th>
<th>Calibrated</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Energy</td>
<td>AREE</td>
<td>Energy</td>
<td>AREE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HR 95% CI</td>
<td>HR 95% CI</td>
<td>HR 95% CI</td>
<td>HR 95% CI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Invasive Cancer</td>
<td>1.01 1.00,1.02</td>
<td>0.99 0.99,1.00</td>
<td>1.43 1.17,1.73</td>
<td>0.84 0.73,0.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obesity-related Cancer</td>
<td>1.02 1.00,1.03</td>
<td>1.00 0.99,1.01</td>
<td>1.71 1.33,2.21</td>
<td>0.79 0.65,0.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breast Cancer</td>
<td>1.01 0.99,1.02</td>
<td>1.00 0.99,1.01</td>
<td>1.47 1.18,1.84</td>
<td>0.82 0.71,0.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colon Cancer</td>
<td>1.00 0.96,1.03</td>
<td>1.00 0.97,1.03</td>
<td>1.86 1.18,2.93</td>
<td>0.83 0.66,1.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rectum Cancer</td>
<td>1.01 0.92,1.10</td>
<td>0.99 0.93,1.05</td>
<td>2.75 1.10,6.83</td>
<td>0.51 0.27,0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ovary Cancer</td>
<td>1.00 0.95,1.05</td>
<td>1.01 0.98,1.05</td>
<td>0.85 0.43,1.68</td>
<td>1.12 0.73,1.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endometrial Cancer</td>
<td>1.08 1.04,1.12</td>
<td>1.01 0.98,1.05</td>
<td>2.72 1.44,5.13</td>
<td>0.77 0.49,1.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bladder Cancer</td>
<td>1.03 0.97,1.10</td>
<td>0.96 0.92,1.00</td>
<td>1.80 0.88,3.69</td>
<td>0.68 0.42,1.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kidney Cancer</td>
<td>1.05 0.98,1.12</td>
<td>1.02 0.96,1.07</td>
<td>2.94 1.37,6.28</td>
<td>0.62 0.35,1.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pancreas Cancer</td>
<td>0.95 0.89,1.01</td>
<td>0.97 0.92,1.01</td>
<td>2.06 0.98,4.33</td>
<td>0.61 0.37,1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lung Cancer</td>
<td>0.99 0.96,1.01</td>
<td>0.97 0.95,1.00</td>
<td>1.14 0.74,1.76</td>
<td>0.79 0.60,1.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lymphoma</td>
<td>1.08 1.03,1.13</td>
<td>1.00 0.96,1.03</td>
<td>0.99 0.48,2.07</td>
<td>1.16 0.69,1.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leukemia</td>
<td>1.01 0.95,1.07</td>
<td>0.98 0.93,1.02</td>
<td>1.48 0.70,3.12</td>
<td>0.74 0.47,1.18</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Outcome Category</th>
<th>Uncalibrated Energy</th>
<th>Uncalibrated AREE</th>
<th>Calibrated Energy</th>
<th>Calibrated AREE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes Mellitus</td>
<td>1.06</td>
<td>1.04,1.07</td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td>1.00,1.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4.17</td>
<td>2.68,6.49</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.44,0.83</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(1.01,1.02)
Feeding study correlations (consumed vs. biomarker; Lampe et al 2016)

**Benchmarks:**
- Total energy (DLW) - 0.72
- Protein (UN) - 0.66

**Serum Measures:**
- Folate - 0.67
- Vit B12 - 0.71
- Alpha carotene - 0.73
- Beta-carotene - 0.61
- Lutein+zeaxanthin - 0.68
- Lycopene - 0.57
- Alpha tocopherol - 0.69
- % energy from MUFA - 0.65
Metabolomics as an Agnostic Approach to Novel Biomarker Development

Metabolomic platforms in blood and urine using NPAAS-FS specimens

Dan Raftery
University of Washington
Major Metabolomics Platforms

NMR
- amino acids
- organic acids
- some amines
- glucose
- lipid classes

Detected molecules: 30-75

LC-MS
- amino acids
- amines
- fatty acids
- nucleosides
- lipids
- carbohydrates...

Detected molecules: ~1000 (300 ID’d)

GC-MS
- organic acids
- aldehydes, ketones
- other volatiles
- fatty acids
- amino acids
- steroids

Detected molecules: ~200 (50-100 ID’d)
Serum Profiling: Targeted LC-MS Analysis

- ~140 known aqueous metabolites measured
- 25 major metabolite pathways measured
- 24 metabolites quantified absolutely $[M]$
- QC of CV ~ 5-8%
**Serum Profiling of Lipids**

- New targeted lipidomics platform measures up to 1200 lipids in 10 classes with absolute quantitation.
- CV: 5% accuracy: 10%

- Ceramides (CER)
- Cholesterol esters (CE)
- Diacylglycerols (DAG)
- Free fatty acids (FFA)
- Lysophosphatidylcholines (LPC)
- Lysophosphatidylethanolamines (LPE)
- Phosphatidylcholines (PC)
- Phosphatidylethanolamines (PE)
- Sphingomyelins (SM)
- Triacylglycerols (TAG)
Urine Profiling

**GC-MS**
- 50 – 100 identified species
- ~ 200 unidentified metabolite features
- CV ~ 20%

**NMR**
- 50 identified species
- Total spectral sum information
- CV ~ 5%
Potential Macronutrient Biomarkers

Total energy
- Doubly labeled water, weight variability
- Correlation with ‘consumed’ energy... \(0.72\)
- No improvement by including metabolomic profile data, or by including age and BMI

Protein
- Urinary nitrogen, DLW, weight variability
- Correlation with consumed protein... \(0.66\)
- No improvement by including metabolomic profile data
Potential Macronutrient Biomarkers (continued)

Carbohydrate
- DLW, weight variability and 11 metabolomic variables
- Correlation of 0.63 with consumed carbohydrate

Alcohol
- 9 metabolomic variables plus age and BMI
- Correlation of 0.51 with ‘consumed’ alcohol

Fat
- DLW, weight variability and metabolomic variables (incl. urine volume adjustment)
- Correlation of 0.44 with consumed fat
- Will try analyses stratified on BMI next, as well as a ‘build up’ approach for fat subtypes
Current Research Agenda

- Apply the same metabolomic platforms to blood and 24-hour urine from the 450 OS women in first phase of NPAAS. Develop calibration equations for each nutritional variable for which a biomarker can be identified, and examine the association between calibrated consumption estimates and outcomes in WHI cohorts.
- Apply the same metabolomic platforms to case and control blood and spot urine from women in the three ‘bone’ clinics, for novel nutritional biomarker comparisons (and for overall metabolomic profile comparisons) bypassing any use of dietary self-report data.